

Appl. No. 10/500,504

Amdt. dated Feb. 15, 2006

Reply to Office action mailed Nov. 22, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached new sheet of drawings is added as Fig. 2.

Attachment: New Sheet

Appl. No. 10/500,504  
Amdt. dated Feb. 15, 2006  
Reply to Office action mailed Nov. 22, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 remain in this application.

In the Office action, the Examiner indicated that Claims 1-11 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejection of Claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicant gratefully acknowledges this indication of allowable subject matter and has amended Claims 1 and 2 accordingly.

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was objected to as identifying one of the references with a wrong name for the inventor and wrong class and sub-class. Applicant has resubmitted the IDS with the correct inventor name, but notes that the current IDS form does not contain a space for the class and subclass. Accordingly, the corrected class and sub-class do not appear on the resubmitted IDS.

In the Office action the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 CFR §1.84(a) because the reflector, light source, motor for driving the color wheel, motor control,

Appl. No. 10/500,504

Amdt. dated Feb. 15, 2006

Reply to Office action mailed Nov. 22, 2006

projection lens, and their relative positioning and structural relationship with one another as well as a lens (system) focusing the light beam onto a display, display system, display control, mentioned in the specification, were not shown in a drawing. This Amendment adds a new Figure (Fig. 2) and revises the specification at page 7, line 20 to page 8, line 4 with reference numbers to correct these informalities. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the drawings objection and approval of the enclosed, proposed drawing.

In the Office action, the Examiner objected to the specification. To obviate this objection, the Applicant is concurrently filing a responsive substitute specification in accordance with 37 CFR §1.125. No new matter was introduced into the substitute specification.

In the Office action the Examiner objected to the specification because the application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure. Applicant notes that this application was published as US2005/0041160 A1 on February 24, 2005 with an Abstract, but that the file wrapper in PAIR does not contain a document labeled "Abstract." Accordingly, the Substitute Specification filed

Appl. No. 10/500,504  
Amdt. dated Feb. 15, 2006  
Reply to Office action mailed Nov. 22, 2006

concurrently with this Amendment contains a copy of the published Abstract on a separate sheet with the text of the published Abstract marked as an addition to the Specification. The text of this Abstract is the same as the abstract in the published application.

In the Office action the disclosure is objected to for lacking comprehensive details and description of the specified matter and not being arranged properly.

Applicant respectfully traverses this objection to the extent that it would require section headings under 37 CFR 1.77(b). Applicant respectfully declines to provide such headings, as they are not required. Please see MPEP §608.01(a).

Applicant further notes that: (a) The title "Video projection system" appears on the first page of the specification. (b) With regard to cross-references to related applications, there are no applications that Applicant considers related to this application. (c) The invention is not related to any research sponsored by the U.S. Government. (d) The invention was not made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement. (e) There is no incorporation by reference of material submitted on a compact disk. (f)

Appl. No. 10/500,504

Amdt. dated Feb. 15, 2006

Reply to Office action mailed Nov. 22, 2006

Background of the invention is covered in the specification, particularly at page 1, lines 1-6 for the field of the invention and page 1, line 7 to page 3, line 11 for a description of the related art. (g) The invention is summarized in the specification at, in particular, page 3, line 14 to page 6, line 29. (h) A brief description of the drawings has been provided in Applicant's Substitute Specification at page 6, line 30 to page 7, line 2. (j) The specification contains a detailed description of the invention at, in particular, page 7, line 3 to page 8, line 21.

In view of the revisions to the specification and Applicant's remarks above, withdrawal of the objection to the specification is respectfully requested.

In response to the rejection of Claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, Claims 1 and 2 have been amended in a manner which is believed to overcome the rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 1 and 2 and of Claims 2-11 by reason of their dependency on Claim 1 is respectfully requested.

New Claims 12-14 have been added to claim patentable features of Applicant's invention. Independent Claim 1

Appl. No. 10/500,504

Amdt. dated Feb. 15, 2006

Reply to Office action mailed Nov. 22, 2006

recites a means for concentrating light and color wheel segments being dichroic filters for colors. Claim 13, which depends from Claim 12, recites that the means for concentrating light is a reflector. Claim 14 recites that the means for concentrating light is a convergent lens.

In addition, in order to put those claims in better form, Claim 1 and Claims 3-10 have been amended by removing the reference numerals, and Claims 1-5 and Claims 7-11 have been amended by changing "characterized in that" to "wherein."

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any informalities remain, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned in order to expedite allowance.

Please charge any fee deficiencies and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By Frank Keegan  
Frank Keegan, Reg. 50,145  
Attorney  
(914) 333-9669  
February 15, 2006